Cytogenetic analysis of peripheral blood lymphocytes after arteriography (exposure to x-rays and contrast medium)
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Backgrounds. The purpose of our study is to investigate the cytogenetic analysis findings in peripheral blood lymphocytes of 29 patients who had undergone diagnostic radiography.

Methods. Peripheral blood samples were taken from 22 patients submitted to renal arteriography and 7 patients submitted to cerebral arteriography (17 male and 12 female, aged between 13-68 years). Cytogenetic analyses of peripheral lymphocytes were performed before the procedure, immediately after and 24 hours later. The entrance skin dose obtained during the whole diagnostic X-ray exposure was measured by thermoluminescent dosimeters and varied between 0.03-0.30 Gy. Both low and high osmolarity contrast media were used. Chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei frequency were used as biomarkers of genotoxicity.

Results. The estimated frequency of chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of patients after arteriography examination was significantly higher than the level before the diagnostic exposure. The mean frequency of cells with chromosomal aberrations was nearly double after examination and proved to be constant in the analysis after 24 hours.

Conclusions. Radiological diagnostic procedures involving iodinated contrast media as arteriography may cause a significant increase in cytogenetic damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes.
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Introduction

Iodinated contrast media are largely needed in diagnostic radiology. In angiography and interventional radiology, especially high diagnostic doses are obtained - relatively long fluoroscopy time plus serial radiography (several frames per second). Cytogenetic analysis findings of diagnostic doses of x-rays and contrast media were investigated in experimental studies on cell cultures in vitro.¹,² Parallel clinical investigations showed an increased genotoxicity in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of the patients undergoing angiography.²,⁴ The results indicate that some contrast media can
induce genotoxic effects alone, and when applied in combination with X-rays, can increase, even double the radiation induced genetic damage. Radiological contrast media do not only increase the absorbed dose, but may also enhance the sensitivity of blood cells to the radiation induced cell damage.2-4

Cytogenetic analysis results are of great concern as they are involved in the mechanism of cancer genesis. It is generally accepted that chromosomal mutations are causal events in the development of neoplasia and it has been postulated that an increased cytogenetic damage may be an indication of an enhanced cancer risk.5

The aim of the present study is to investigate the effects of contrast media and diagnostic radiation on cytogenesis of the peripheral blood lymphocytes of the patients undergoing arteriography. Chromosomal aberrations (CA) and micronuclei (MN) in the peripheral blood lymphocytes are used as cytogenetic biomarkers.

Methods

Subjects investigated

Twenty-nine patients with limited history of previous medical radiation exposures and undergoing angiography examination [22 renal arteriographies (RAR) and 7 cerebral arteriographies (CAR)] were selected for this study. In the selected group of patients, 17 were males and 12 females, ranging in age from 13 to 68 years (average age 41.6 years).

A Philips Medical Systems angiographic equipment «PolyDiagnost C» was used with DSI viewing console and Easy Vision workstation. The unit was operated at 60 - 90 kV range and up to 250 mA with a filtration of 2 mm Al.

Blood samples were collected in sterile vacuumers with Li-heparin. Three samples were taken: (1) before angiographic run, (2) immediately after, and (3) 24 hours after the examination. The radiation exposure assessment was made by thermoluminescent dosimeters. The radiation exposure varied from 0.03 to 0.30 Gy (Table 1) and was estimated as skin entrance dose. The type and the volume of contrast material used are given in Table 1. For all subjects, a questionnaire was completed to assess their general physical condition, life style, previous x-ray examinations, diets, use of medications.

Cytogenetic endpoints

Lymphocyte cultures were prepared in 5 ml RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and phytochaemaglutinin P.

For chromosomal aberration analysis, Colchicine 0.5 mkg/ml was added to the cultures 48 hours after incubation. The cells were harvested two hours later.6 Twenty-eight subjects were analyzed for chromosomal aberrations (CA). The cells scored per sample for structural chromosomal aberrations after staining with 10% Giemsa ranged from 100 to 400.

For cytokinesis blocked micronucleus test, Cytochalasin B was added 44 hours after incubation. The cells were harvested after 72 hours (7). Ten patients were analyzed for the presence of micronuclei (MN) in binucleated lymphocytes immediately before (1) and after (2) radiodiagnostic examination. Two thousand cells per each sample were analyzed.

Ethics

Informed consent was obtained from all investigated subjects after they had received an explanation of the study. The reports were reviewed and approved by the local ethics committee. The volume of the samples (1) and (2) is the blood collected during the air trapping prevention and catheters flushing.

Statistical analysis

Student t-test and χ²-test was applied before and after arteriography of patients to analyze

statistical significance of the difference between the frequencies of chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei formation, respectively.

**Results**

A total of 29 subjects submitted to angiography were investigated cytogenetically. Chromosomal aberrations were analyzed in 28 of them, and in 10 subjects, micronuclei formation in binucleated lymphocytes was investigated (Table 2).

The frequency of chromosomal aberrations was increased in most of the patients immediately after the examination and remained constant at the sampling after 24 hours (Table 2). Dicentric chromosomes, which are the most sensitive indicators of radiation exposure, were found in 7 cases. It must be noted that, despite selection, some of the patients underwent some kind of radiodi-

**Table 1. Characteristics of the investigated patients undergoing arteriography**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
<th>Type of examination</th>
<th>Contrast agent</th>
<th>Total volume (ml)</th>
<th>Entrance skin dose (Gy)</th>
<th>Ro-exam. in last year</th>
<th>Sampling time**</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>MN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>ioxapan 320</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>KG</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>ioxapan 320</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>DG</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>iopromide 300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>iopromide 370</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>DS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>iopromide 350</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>iopromide 350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>iopromide 350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>iopromide 350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>MP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>CAr</td>
<td>iopromide 350</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Head CT 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Abdominal CT 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>EL</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>ioxaglate 320</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>PX</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>SV</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td>1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>TG</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>no 1; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>RA 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>VY</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>iopromide 300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>iopromide 370</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>IVU 1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>no 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Abdominal CT; IVU</td>
<td>1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>GV</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>HP</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>diatrizoate 370</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>TZ</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RAr</td>
<td>iopromide 300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>no 1; 2; 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CAr - Cerebral arteriography, RAr - Renal arteriography; **1 - before arteriography, 2 - after arteriography, 3 - 24 hours after arteriography.
Table 2. Frequency of chromosomal aberrations (CA) and micronuclei (MN) in the peripheral blood lymphocyte of the patients undergoing arteriography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ne Case</th>
<th>Sampling time*</th>
<th>Cells with CA, %</th>
<th>Chromosome Fragments</th>
<th>Dicentrics</th>
<th>Chromatid Fragments</th>
<th>Total Ne of CA, %</th>
<th>MN, %</th>
<th>Cells with MN, %</th>
<th>Total Ne of MN, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. GI</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. KG</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. DG</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. RF</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. DS</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. SM</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. DK</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ED</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. MP</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. PD</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. II</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4,25</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>1,75</td>
<td>6,25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. EL</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. PX</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3,25</td>
<td>1,75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>3,25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. HI</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. SV</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>2,3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. HS</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. ML</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. VI</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. TG</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. PP</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean frequency of cells carrying chromosomal aberrations in the group of 28 investigated patients was 1.62% ± 0.18 before angiography, and 2.77% ± 0.21 immediately after diagnostic examination (Table 1). The difference was statistically significant (*t* = 3.21; *P* < 0.01). The frequency of cells with aberrations was estimated 24 hours after the diagnostic exposure only in 14 subjects and was found to be 3.61% ± 0.39. The frequency score for the same subjects immediately after angiography was 3.39% ± 0.32 and did not differ significantly in the analysis after 24 hours (*P* > 0.05). In the group of patients submitted to renal arteriography, the frequency of cells with chromosomal aberrations immediately before and after the exposure was 1.81% ± 0.22, and 3.22% ± 0.25, respectively (Table 2), (*P* < 0.01). No increase in the frequency of chromosomal aberrations was observed in the patients who has undergone cerebral arteriography (*P* > 0.05).

The yield of micronuclei also increased significantly after angiography (Table 2). The frequency varied from 5‰ to 16‰ in subjects before, and from 5.5‰ to 24‰ in different subjects immediately after the examination. The mean values of micronuclei in peripheral lymphocytes of the investigated subjects was 9.5 ± 0.69 before, and 12.5 ± 0.80 after the examination (Figure 2). The difference was statistically significant (*\( \chi^2 = 7.85; P < 0.01 \)).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found a higher frequency of chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei in the group of patients exposed to the diagnostic x-ray with the application of contrast media during angiography compared to their control values before the exposure. The difference was statistically significant for both cytogenetic biomarkers used: chromosomal aberrations (*P* < 0.01) and micronuclei formation (*P* < 0.01). Micronuclei arose in the cytoplasm of binucleated cells as a result of CA induction and they were proved to be a sensitive bioindicator of genotoxic exposure.
The use of contrast agent in radiodiagnostic arteriography aimed to increase the absorption of X-rays in blood vessels. This was due to the iodine atom included and resulting effect of high photoelectric absorption. As a consequence, the cells in the vicinity of the contrast agent might have absorbed larger radiation dose and might have been exposed to greater cytotoxic effects. This could explain the observed significant genotoxic damage in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of the investigated patients in our study.

Previous in vitro studies found that some contrast agents might possess genotoxic properties by themselves and might have a potential to increase the genotoxicity of X-rays as well. Previous studies also proved that certain contrast media could also penetrate the epithelial cells through a transcellular mechanism. In conclusion, there is a significant increase in the frequency of chromosome damage in the peripheral blood lymphocytes of the subjects undergoing diagnostic arteriography. These results suggest the need for studying the radiosensitizing property of the contrast media to reduce the patient dose without compromising the image quality. Further in vitro studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism of the combined genotoxic effects of iodinated contrast agents and radiation.
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